

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,286	11/13/2003	Ben Zhong Tang	016660-021.003	5667
21839	7590 05/18/2004		EXAMINER	
BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS L L P			· WU, SHEAN CHIU	
POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA. VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			1756	

DATE MAILED: 05/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A1:4: No	Anglian (4)			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/706,286	TANG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Shean C Wu	1756			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 No	ovember 2003.				
·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 8 and 14-21 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7, 9-13 and 22-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	vn from consideration. relection requirement.				
 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No In this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	. 🗖				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 1756

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 1-4, 9-13 and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the monomers or polymers exemplified in the specification, does not reasonably provide enablement for the species not discloses in the specification. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. The claims are broader than the enabling disclosure. The claimed invention is not supported by examples commensurate in scope. The claimed species represented by the formula have enormous permutations. No properties are general specified for most of compounds. There are no teachings how one of the ordinary skill in the art can synthesize the species represented by formula except the species exemplified in the specification, particularly, no guidelines for making the species containing a heterocyclic ring LC is an unpredictable art. Without knowledge of the properties of species commensurate in scope with the claims, Applicants invite the skilled artisan to first synthesize and then test the species before a use can be undue The properties of LC vary greatly with the number and type of rings, bonding, lateral and terminal substituents and polarities. All govern the properties of the LC and mixture thereof, which further determine the utility in one of a multitude of functionally distinct compositions and displays for optical application. Applicants claim offer little more than an invitation to experiment and even presupposing the species all can be readily made.

Art Unit: 1756

2. Claims 1-4, 9-13 and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The notations of "spa", "spa2", "mes", "A", "B", "C", "D" and "E" are not clearly defined. In Claim I, the "spa" and "mes" are indefinite because what are the space group and mesogenic group are not defined. In Claim 4, the polar moieties are not clearly defined because the A and B are linking groups and C is a terminal group.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kong et al. (Influence of Backbone Rigidity on the Thermotropic Behavior of Side-Chain Liquid Crystal Polyacetylene in Polymer Chemistry).

The reference of formula 2a anticipates the claimed polyacetylene.

5. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kong et al. ("Synthesis of Polyphenylacetylene Containing Polar Cyano Groups" in Polymer Material Sic. & Eng. Vol. 77, pages 518-519, 1997).

Art Unit: 1756

The reference polymer in Scheme 1 anticipates the claimed polyacetylene.

6. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kong et al. ("Synthesis of Polyphenylacetylene Containing Polar Cyano Groups", paper presented at 214th American Chemical Society, National Meeting in Las Vegas, NV, Sept. 7-13, 1997).

The reference polymer in Schemes 1 and 2 anticipates the claimed polyacetylene.

7. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by CAPLUS 1999: 129341 and "Synthesis, Mesomorphism, Isomerization and Aromatization of Stereoregular Poly[[4-[[[6-[[[4'[heptyl]oxy-4-biphenyl]carbonyl]oxy]-hexyl]oxy]]carbonyl]phenyl]acetylene", Macromolecules, Vol. 32(6), pages 1722-1730, 1999.

The compound of Poly[[4-[[[6-[[[4'[heptyl]oxy-4-biphenyl]carbonyl]oxy]-hexyl]oxy]]carbonyl]phenyl]acetylene anticipates the claim.

8. Claims 9-12 and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Vicentini et al. (Liq. Cryst. 1994).

The reference discloses an acetylene liquid crystalline monomer to prepare a polymer. See the abstract and the formula (A₃Cm) on second page. The properties of the acetylene monomers are shown in TABLE 1. The polyacetylenes are shown in Table 2. Also, see the section of conclusion on page 732. The reference anticipates the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 1756

9. Claims 9-11 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Douglas et al. (Us 5,475,133).

The reference discloses a bis-proparyl thermoset. The monomer represented by the formula has a bisproparyl group which reads on the claimed species of third formula in the claim. See the Example 4 on col. 9.

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 8 and 14-21 are allowed.

Conclusion

11. This is a continuation of applicant's earlier Application No. 10/346,360. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however,

Art Unit: 1756

event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of

this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Shean C Wu whose telephone number is 571-272-1393. The

examiner can normally be reached on 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on 571-272-1385. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

hean C Wu

Primary Examiner

Page 6

Art Unit 1756

scw